

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,196	07/25/2005	Kai Desinger	3254	5577
21834 BECK AND T	7590 10/01/2007 YSVER P.L.L.C.		, EXAMINER	
2900 THOMAS SUITE 100	S AVENUE SOUTH		HOLMES, REX R	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55416			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/518,196	DESINGER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rex Holmes	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	• ()	•	
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 E This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition. 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/04;8/23/06. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/518,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pearson et al. (U.S. Pub. 2003/0212394 hereinafter "Pearson").
- 3. Regarding claims 1-3, Pearson discloses an electrode needle with a shaft (12), active electrodes on the shaft (18) and a nuclear magnetic resonance marker that is coated on the shaft or the electrodes (¶¶ 65, 80).
- 4. Regarding claim 4, the introducer is coated and the electrode extends outside of the introducer (Fig. 9G; ¶65).
- 5. Regarding claim 5 and 11, the introducer is a wire and the wire is coated with the marker (¶¶ 65, 67).
- 6. Regarding claim 6, the needle has a lumen (16), a shaft (18) and a wire (15).
- 7. Regarding claim 7, Pearson discloses that the needle has a casing surrounding a lumen and a wire (Fig. 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,196 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 8-10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Weber et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,908,410 hereinafter "Weber").
- 11. Regarding claims 8-10 and 16, Pearson discloses the claimed invention including that the marker is magnopaque and can be located on the sheath, on the electrode inside the casing, or attached before the electrode (Col. 6, II. 59-67; Col. 7, II. 1-5; Col. 10, II. 47-49, Figs. 2-11), but Pearson fails to explicitly disclose that the marker is a ferromagnetic material. However, Weber teaches that it is known to use ferromagnetic material as set forth in Column 1, lines 15-21 to provide a disturbance in the magnetic

Application/Control Number: 10/518,196

Art Unit: 3762

field in a nuclear magnetic resonance field so as to produce a visible image on the viewing screen of a magnetic resonance imaging device. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the magnopaque marker as taught by Pearson, with a ferromagnetic material as taught by Weber since such a modification would provide the device of Pearson with a ferromagnetic marker that would disturb the magnetic field in a nuclear magnetic resonance field so as to produce a visible image on the viewing screen of a magnetic resonance imaging device.

- 12. Claims 8-10 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gisselberg et al. (U.S. Pub. 2003/0052785 hereinafter "Gisselberg").
- 13. Regarding claims 8-10 and 12-16, Pearson discloses the claimed invention including that the marker is magnopaque and can be located on the sheath, on the electrode inside the casing, or attached before the electrode (Col. 6, II. 59-67; Col. 7, II. 1-5; Col. 10, II. 47-49, Figs. 2-11), but Pearson fails to explicitly disclose that the marker is a ferromagnetic material and that the ferromagnetic marker is coiled and tuned to the frequency of the Magnetic Resonance Imaging Device. However, Gisselberg teaches that it is known to use coiled ferromagnetic material tuned to a resonate frequency as set forth in Paragraphs 7, 8, 57, 73 and Claim 21to provide a disturbance in the magnetic field in a nuclear magnetic resonance field so as to produce a visible image on the viewing screen of a magnetic resonance imaging device. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 10/518,196

Art Unit: 3762

modify the magnopaque marker as taught by Pearson, with a ferromagnetic material as taught by Gisselberg since such a modification would provide the device of Pearson with a ferromagnetic coiled marker tuned to the magnetic resonance frequency so that it would disturb the magnetic field in a nuclear magnetic resonance field so as to produce a visible image on the viewing screen of a magnetic resonance imaging device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rex Holmes whose telephone number is 571-272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner

Art Unit 3762

GEORGE R. EVANISKO